






**Total = 171 (completed the feedback)**






**Country - work**




© Australian Bureau of Statistics, GeoNames, Microsoft, Navinfo, TomTom, Wikipedia

**Country - work**







**"The workshop was a good fit for my learning needs"  
(% of participants)**



**"The concepts and skills presented were explained well"  
(% of participants)**




**"There is at least one thing that I will do differently  
or act on as a result of attending this training"  
(% of participants)**






**"The workshop was helpful in progressing my manuscript" (% of participants)**



**Most helpful features (% of participants)**




**Most difficult subjects to assimilate (% of participants)**







**Confidence level after workshop (% of participants)**



**Reasons for choosing this workshop (% of participants)**



**Interest in other development opportunities (% of participants)**





**Things they will do differently from now on (follow up of Q. 7):**

About the abstract and discussion write up style  
Abstract writing for our department projects  
Adding more time  
Address ethical issues and Proper manuscript writing.  
Apply some tips on how to organize the content of my research papers better.  
Applying trees of argument  
Appropriate use of graphs and tables  
Attempting the tree of arguments, using Jane to find suitable journals for my manuscripts and find articles.  
Avoiding statements such as: "This clearly shows ..." instead "This suggests ..."  
Be more conscious of plagiarism and be wary of predatory journals. Try to adapt the tree format  
Be more specific on the research hypothesis  
Being cautious of predatory journals  
Better improve my writing  
better manuscript writing and reviewing skills  
Building the essential components of the "Tree of Arguments" and define the three main messages and arguments of the results  
Change the title of the manuscripts I am working on in my in-tray with immediate effect.  
Checking the open access journals (Jane) and choosing visualizations carefully  
Choosing a specific title. Managing tables and figures  
Choosing my research topic  
Construction of the tree of arguments when writing the results, discussion and conclusion.  
Continue studying the research requirements, strengthening and favoring those who have less possibility of carrying out any type of training  
Data analysis, Reference manager  
Developing stronger partnerships  
Different approach.  
Differently or act on as a result of attending this training  
Everything ;) the tricks/ information shared during the workshop help me to revise my thesis and adjust it appropriately for submission.  
Finish writing my paper for publication  
First all for this kinds of training present in some time in research ere and my gratefully attend and appreciates the organizers to facilitate the demonstration specifically data analysis software and ex-atra  
Focused literature review  
Follow the recommendations for good writing  
For sure, I will made huge changes in the way what I used to organize and write a manuscript for submission.  
Formulating a research question  
Give clear title to journal article  
How I approach manuscript writing.  
How to choose the journal which I will submit to; How to write my results, applying the "main result message" in it.  
How to search for free access journals  
How to start drafting the manuscript  
How to write manuscript in a smart and scientific ways. It was really interesting  
How to write my manuscripts and which journals to publish with.



How to write scientific Manuscript

I am abled to write a better manuscript.

I could apply earlier maybe to have a place in the afternoon sessions but it was anyway informative

I could have increased the length of the workshop and include rooms for discussion in between the session

I fairly think that I can now more be critical in checking papers and suggest appropriate edits for a manuscript.

I have learnt the type of language necessary to be used in an article, the information I should present in each part

I learned how to correctly write the results and discussion section

I learnt better way to organise my manuscript to make it concise and excellent.

I learnt how to emphasise important points while writing a manuscript for publication, for example - the first and last sentences in a paragraph. I will use pointers such as these while writing manuscripts henceforth.

I learnt that names that qualify to be authors should be people who have had significant contribution to the the research, and that all other names with minor contributions should go to the acknowledgement section and not the authorship section.

I think I would structure the manuscript from the outset better thanks to this workshop

I understand how the tree of argument constructed and outlined in the manuscript.

I understood the title selection and the conclusion. These two areas have really given me hard time in my previous abstract writing.

I will be able to write more clearly and concisely

I will begin my research studies

I will change the way I structure my manuscripts.

I will definitely be using the web tool called JANE that was presented. I thought that was a fantastic resource to use when developing a manuscript. I will also be using the referencing tools with my search engines to facilitate importing references.

I will have more confidence to write a paper and now I know look for principal points that I need to pay attention.

i will make a timeline when preparing a manuscript and stick to it. I will make sure my manuscript checks all required criteria before submission. I will follow up when there is no response

I will start preparing a tree of arguments when writing a manuscript, I will be more straightforward in my writing and I believe I am now better prepared to select good articles from more questionable ones.

I will start reorienting my clinical Reserch

I will start using Jane in my search for journals. I only learned Jane through this webinar-workshop.

I will support my students in research academic skills.

I will surely be able to present a good manuscript with the help of methods or information I got in the workshop. I'll be able to progress my manuscript.

I will use tree of arguments; I will work on parts of the manuscript in a different order; I will start using reference managers; I will be more confident when responding to reviewers

I would ensure that every paragraph in the discussion would introduce a mainpoint. The beginning sentences would be straight to the point and there will be a strong conclusion for each paragraph. I will use repetitive words in order to convey the message of interest to the reader.

I would review classes.

I would start writing my manuscript from the results section which was something I never thought of doing prior to this workshop.

Identify groups or individuals who should not be considered as peer reviewers.

If there is a chance, I plan to echo what I have learned from this workshop to my co-faculty and co-researchers.

I'll include new steps on the organization previous to writing a manuscript



I'll use the tree of arguments to identify the main research findings and to work backwards to the methods, introduction, and discussion.

I'm gonna be more accertive in written language and put more essencial informations in my papers instead of all of my data

Implement the Tree of arguments

Improve my writing skills

Improve on manuscript writing

Improve on writing Report

Improved research article development and selection of reputable journals for possible publication

Improvement in manuscript writing

It has enhanced my overall understanding, especially in identifying the tree of arguments and writing the discussion section of a manuscript.

I've learnt that Abstract only comprised of brief introduction, objectives, method , findings and conclusion of the study. I also learnt that in writing report in my abstract I should only report the statistical significant reports. This will help me improve my Masters thesis writing

Journal selection

making the conclution of my reserch project base in the introduction and not and the objective

Manuscript preparation

Method of Developing manuscripts well.

Mobilize colleagues for collaborative research

My approach towards review and manuscript writing

My tree of Argument.

My way of organizing ideas before I start writing paper

My writing skills have definitely improved.

My writing style

Network in workplaces

Not necessarily include to much references in my research.

Now I am confident and more organized in writing a paper.

Now that I have been able to understand how the medical writing and paper publication works from beginning to end (I am a novice), I will be able to get over my hesitations and begin writing based on what I learned in the workshop.

Organising a better research protocol for submission

Organize my discussion into tree of arguments

Organize my manuscript around the "Tree of Arguments" concept.

Organizing and writing manuscript differently; Using Zotero; Searching for appropriate journals (like from JANE)

Pay more attention to publications

Plan better on manuscripts

Plan out my papers using the tree of arguments

Preparing best manuscripts

present my results and discussion better

Presentation of research of findings or results and discussion section

Presenting research results to stakeholders.

Prioritize writing of manuscript based on tree of arguments concept

Proper use of citation managers in conducting researches.

Protocolised writing

Publishing on a reputable journal.





Questões específicas da elaboração do texto  
Research methodology  
Selecting the journal for publication, organizing me findings  
Share the learnings to my students and apply it also to research consultancies I handle  
Small assignment  
Solicitation for paper submission  
State the main point, summarize only the major findings, be clear and admit the limitations  
Taking one more similar training and prepare a similar training/workshop for my institution staffs.  
The application of the tree of arguments  
the approach on writing an article and analysing data will be different from now on  
The best way on how to start writing a manuscript, especially the Tree of arguments  
The consistency of the various parts of my manuscripts.  
The detailed explanation on the actual intent and meaning of feedback or rejection from editors was very illuminating. Going forward, I know how to respond to Editors when I receive feedback that further information is needed or even a rejection.  
The format of writing the manuscript from results to introduction then discussion  
The manner at which I have always approached proposal and manuscript writing  
The planning phase when structuring a paper.  
The procedure for writing up a manuscript  
The process on how to present and tell story in the manuscript as well as the requirements in publications  
The results to present and how to present it.  
The sequence of writing and organization of the manuscript  
The structure of introduction and results  
The tree of argument  
The use of the Tree of Argument actually simplifies the content and structure of manuscript writing.  
Time is shorter  
Tree of Arguments  
Use of the tree of arguments  
Use of tree of arguments  
Use of Zotero  
Use the tree of arguments for writing a research paper.  
Using the Tree of Arguments  
Using the tree of arguments framework  
Will able to understand better in writing scientific manuscript  
Write a better manuscript, do better literature and present my data in an acceptable way.  
Write and synthesize the findings more confidently  
Write good titles for my manuscripts  
Write manuscript effectively  
Write paper properly  
Writing a research topic that includes findings from the study  
Writing by utilising the tree of argument  
Writing discussion section  
Writing discussion with structure  
Writing my discussion and results  
Writing my methods section



Writing my tree of argument be for the start of the manuscript. Also the of Writing the manuscript to make easy.

Writing proposal

Writing research article

## **What should we continue doing in this workshop?**

Add more pictures

Alert before an hour to connect zoom

All

All

All sections

All session

All the present components.

All things

All topics

As it is

As to me everything was fine and continue in the same way in the future

Bringing in more professionals to discuss the topics/subjects

Building capacity and networking across fields to improve research in middle and low income countries.

But I would have love to audit the sessions with the main participants too.

Continue

Continue as is.

Continue the same instructor.

Continue the way of tutoring you do, I liked it. Keep arranging such informative workshops!

Continue to have regular trainings

Continue with online platform to include many people from all over the globe

Continue with the Q/A sessions. It makes it interactive.

Continue with the same program

Continue with this type of course

Covering the amount of breadth and depth

Current teaching-learning strategy is good

Data analysis

Data Analysis

Demonstrations

Discussion after every session

Discussion of various examples.

Discussion on each aspect of manuscript including title and abstract

Disponibility to answer and discuss the questions of the participants.

Duration of the meetings; Quality of the presentations; Use of QeA box to respond the participants questions.

Even though the workshop demanded a long commitment, I think it was fundamental to the deepness of the discussions.

Everething, my objective was to attende the workshop and learn something new, and definitely did.

Everything

Everything worked fine, please continue this way



Everything! The workshop was really great and I think that the lessons being followed by examples and demonstrations and then the Q&A was a great structure programme.

Exhaustive topics, simplified language, practical tips

For example, everyone shall be asked to download Zotero in the previous day and when the lesson comes, everyone shall have the opportunity to follow what is explained and check if is able to manipulate.

Giving more learning activities like this

Giving room for other experts to answer questions alongside the main speaker was a welcome idea and I think this can be Improved.

Have different approaches/training modules for writing manuscripts for research article, reviews, short communication/ Introduce how research can be translated into policy and how to write policy briefs

Hoping that this will be on a regular basis so that those who were not able to attend will also derive benefits from how the experts discuss important and highly sensitive topics in research and publication.

How to write a grant and techniques to win

I agree that further promotion of these kind of workshop, especially regarding scientific writing, is a must in these days, especially at the field were I am trained for.

I like to continue the interactive sessions

I liked all.

I liked the demonastations

I wish I had a draft ready so I could enrol in the mentorship part as well

I'm Brazilian so I liked to have a brazilian instructor, because she help us when there was a doubt. Thus, I think this should continue for next workshops.

Interactive assignment

Interactiveness of the class

It is good to raise this important topic "Scientific Manuscript Writing workshop". Please continue to strengthen this workshop since we need someone with deep experience.

It should be done on quartely basis for many prospectus writers to benefit

Journal list is very useful

Keep it up for anther time

Kindly continue with the whole program, there are always new researchers each year and refresher courses are vital too.

Lecture on scientific manuscript writing

Lecture/ demonstrations

Lectures

Make it regularly

Make these workshops available online and free of charge

My experience was great!

Nothing to change at all

Offering the link of lessons. Conducting the lessons

One to one mentoring

One to one training.

Online and questions and Answers

Organise more of this workshop

Organize more trainings

Organize new session

Organizing training like these

Os palestrantes



#### Presentation

Presenters can give time or spots where short feedback activities (mini-quiz or chat function), fill in the blanks, or voting, etc. so the audience feels engaged.

Provide more local sample applications.

Provide regular research lessons to enhance research capacity and practice.

#### Q&A session Lecture Series

Q&A session. I loved the interactive approach and opportunity we were given to get advice on our own research titles, and queries we had regarding our research

#### Question and answer session

#### Regular workshops

#### Scientific merit

Sharing the material used before the session maybe allow us to make more questions or address better our doubts about

#### Similar program

#### Similar workshops

#### Speakers

The brilliant discussions and Q&A segments were extremely interesting and helpful.

The classes were very dynamic, the time for questions and interaction with the public was perfect to answer questions.

#### The content

THE CONTENT IS VERY GOOD

#### The contents of the presentation

The contents of the workshop. They were rich!

#### The course structure

#### The coverage of all topics

#### The current setup is fine.

#### The demonstration part of each day training highly appreciated

#### The discussion forum was great

#### The examples.

The format of exchanges and actively answering our questions..getting the opinions of other members of the group. That was very helpful.

The format of responding to questions should be maintained.

The great input from experts around the world.

#### The interactive sessions.

the lecture formats were wonderful!

The lecture style should be maintained

#### The lectures

The one-on-one session, I think it is very helpful to participants. I just hope I have the time to attend also.

#### The online format the topics discussed

The opportunity given to participants to ask questions, and also response to questions sent to chats, This was good and must be continued.

The organization of VC to share experiences with people on the field.

The practicals is ideal and should be continued.

The presentations, demonstration and question and answer session. People Sharing their experience also is very helpful for the upcoming researchers.

The presenters were well versed in their areas



The Q&A dynamic.

The Q&A part was very well managed and well-executed.

The Q&A session.

The Q&A sessions and tool demonstration

The Q&A was really good and the team that presented was awesome 😊

The question and answer sections are so helpful

The same workshop at least each year

The session should be around 2 hours.

The style of training

The time was good. And being free.

The topics were interesting

The use of examples and the comprehensive Q&A were particularly helpful for me. I think that the insights straight from the speakers and participants are practical and useful.

The way of presentation

The workshop have to keep the tutoring sessions.

The workshop should be continued

The workshop should continue with the appropriate coach

The workshop was extremely useful and the presenters were knowledgeable. I would recommend this workshop even to individuals with experience in manuscript writing because it's always good to hear other perspectives and styles, and also because the workshop touched on a lot of other aspects of manuscript development than just the basics/mechanics. I think you should continue to provide this wonderful resource and I'm sure it has benefitted all the participants.

There was enough time for questions and answers and that was good.

This programme had brilliant speakers and reactors.

This programme should be continued

To continue in this kind of Workshop so that to empower upcoming scientists

To give update on other activities

To update the skills on presentation techniques, review process and responses

Topics and presenters were great

Tree of augment

Use of different resource persons of varied academic expertise

Use of pictures and graphical images to illustrate points.

Use the ways and methods to deliver the skills

Virtual meetings should be continued

Virtual, free and accessible workshops on different components of research work

We need access to the books, and conferences to study and share with our colleagues

We would appreciate if we continue this program each year for a refresher course for manuscript writers like us.

With mode of lectures

With the excellent presentation skills and tutelage.

Workload and study material

## **What should we do differently in this workshop?**

Accommodate a higher number of mentees



Add more hands-on writing sessions

Add more practicals

Adjust the program duration

Allowing the lectures to be watched on demand in case participants cannot attend at the established time.

Availability of the especially in time zones like ours in the Philippines. :)

Better to more trainers

Bring in the how to analyse data collected.

Change it to an hour day

Continue and need training groups for start applying

Continue to encourage and mentor young researchers

Demonstration

Different speakers for different session

Discussing the incorrect / wrong ways

Duration is too long

Duration of sessions may be increased

Each presentation was quite long

Extend the period

For a workshop done online, I think it would be better to cut the number of hours each day and instead add an extra day.

For me this workshop was very interesting! I would not change anything. I liked each lecture and explanation.

Further refinement of the discussion section, including how to build arguments with relevant keywords.

Give room for more full participants.

Give the training more time, for people to come up with different projects to present and do manuscript writing

Give the videos to watch later.

Give us the notes early to allow preview for better understanding for adults who are audiovisual learners, I being one of them.

Have a diverse range of presenters rather than one presenter for all the days.

Have a practical session for ALL the participants

Have more demonstrations. Do a mock manuscript from scratch

Have multiple facilitators alternating between sessions

I didn't receive the link for taking part in evening sessions so perhaps better communication also more breaks since digesting this complex informations are hard

I think that the Q&A could be a little bit longer, so we could debate more questions.

I was not able to join the hands on workshop and I am thinking that there are still more to learn from those sessions. Maybe some of those sessions can also be shared.

I would appreciate if you could add examples that are connected to social studies and humanities, even if linked to health studies. It would also be great if you could have interpretation to other languages such as spanish and portuguese.

I would have liked to have participated as a full participant, but the schedules concentrated in one week, in two shifts was not possible for me

I would just request that me make the next training more interactive with questions being asked to the different attendees.

I would to suggest you discuss more on data analysis.

If its possible training material provided through the electronic way to stand ready participants before the training start for active participation.



If participants could select topics or areas they are in need to attend. This, I believe could reduce the amount of money spent on internet bundle to access the whole session.

If possible the timing however, I understand this might be difficult because of different time zones.

In my opinion, the lectures were great, however, they had many hours in a row, this made it a little tiring.

In the future, the workshop could be designed to include breakout rooms

Include how to make posters

Include more lectures on other aspects of manuscript writing - like reference manager and statistics.

Increase the number of places for full participants; Increase the number of speakers to diversify points of view and improve the engagement of participants

Interactive assignment

It was good. If possible please add more examples.

It will be better if some reading materials related to the topic that will be discussed are sent before the training, this will actually give us an idea of what to expect and read ahead.

IT WILL BE GOOD IF THE PROGRAM IS ON WEEKENDS

It would be helpful to have some instructional material in advance.

Journal Selection

Longer time for Q&A (sometimes there are a lot of questions in the chat box)

Make it more interactive

Make the duration much longer.

Make the slides / reference texts available before the presentation for prior reading. Make more places available to full participants.

Many hours. I think that could be shorter.

May be take more participants for the full training (instead of only 20)

Maybe add a discussion portal.

Maybe the sharing of screen during the lectures.

More could be done to engage learners.

More detailed reference manager hands on

More focus on discussion portion

More places available for the writing mentoring

More time for practical side

More time for the participants to ask questions.

More vacancies and time to organize the datas to submit the subscription to the tutoring sessions.

Nothing to change but continue with this noble task. This kind of workshop, for sure, will always be impactful and will go a long long way...[the time difference across the globe may result in inconvenience for some but I think this is still worth it even if I stay attuned from 8pm to 11pm:-)]

O horário do curso

Offer more mentorship opportunities, please

Offer more places for the full participants; Invite more speakers to present the classes

Open the tutoring sessions for the "observer only" participants to watch.

Overall, the training was professional and informed by experienced investigators. If possible, there could be more time for Q&A or discussion among the presenters. As they come from different backgrounds and experience, they share different approaches.

Perhaps access to the content slides on the same day after the presentation.

Perhaps it could have been a bit shorter

Personally, I prefer to have the lectures on hand while listening to the discussion so I can take some more notes. If you can give the participants the presentations beforehand so we can focus on listening.



Please add also you need to add skills of writing reviews

Please keep the hard work up

Presentation style

Provide continues and similar training as this subject is a headache for young researchers.

Q&A is important to me I wish you could answer more questions

Regular workshops

Structure lectures such that the principles/concepts are not only presented/discussed, but are coupled with examples throughout

The duration of everyday session

The exclusion of people without data for the practicals. It should be opened for all using a demonstration data for those without.

The first day the introduction part for manuscript was a bit rushed

The frequency of such knowledgeable sessions could be increased.

The hour it is difficult in my country, because I was at work at 6 am (1 pm London)

The main lecturer would be more focused in classes.

The presentations were too packed for a day hence focusing on some of the presentations were difficult. I suggest other days be added for the workshop if there is a lot to be learnt. Thank you.

The section on citation softwares has to unclude some minutes of practice.

The session could include examples for each topic from social sciences as well as natural science. This wouldn't need to add much time to the presentation, but would provide an opportunity to see how the concepts being presented are applied in the different approaches. Also, I think that it would be useful to reach out to other scientists who might agree to be contacted occasionally in the future by attendees to provide guidance and feedback, when possible.

The time of the presentation for one presenter was a bit long.

The time should be more

The time: Weekend will be more appropriate for those that are working.

The timing was a bit of a challenge. It would be nice if the whole programme was split into two sessions in different weeks.

The timing, wasn't very convenient for me here in Kenya

The workshop was really amazing. I enjoyed every part of it.

There are many contents addressed during the workshop daily. all of them are equally important. it requires staying focused. considering the use of the virtual platform maybe needs to split the subjects. making more affordable for the attendees.

There should be a virtual as well as face to face where there could be group assignments and tasks to present

There should be more active involvement of participants.

They could include more examples and not ignore questions from listeners. I did several and the organizer ignored them. continue with this type of course, but in several languages

Time

Time and more elaboration on the result

Time zone

Time(afternoon/evening) to allow for easier participation for those at work in the morning and those not in Europe.

Timing, should be more convenient. E.g from Thursday through Saturday.

Timing, weekends will be better, there should be if possible more one on one tutors

To include more speakers

Tone of the speakers. The delivery of the information were not as effective due to the monotonous lectures.





Understand the different perspectives of presentation techniques, review process and responses

Use examples from a wider range of biological disciplines

Use of different presenters rather than one presenter