

Safeguarding people living in vulnerable conditions in the COVID-19 era through universal health coverage and social protection



Gabriela Cuevas Barron, George Laryea-Adjei, Vaira Vike-Freiberga, Ibrahim Abubakar, Henia Dakkak, Delanjathan Devakumar, Anders Johnsson, Selma Karabey, Ronald Labonté, Helena Legido-Quigley, Peter Lloyd-Sherlock, Isaac Iyinoluwa Olufadewa, Harold Calvin Ray, Irwin Redlener, Karen Redlener, Ismail Serageldin, Nisia Trindade Lima, Virgilio Viana, Katherine Zappone, Uyen Kim Huynh, Nicole Schlosberg, Hanlu Sun, Ozge Karadag for the Lancet Commission on COVID-19: Task Force on Humanitarian Relief, Social Protection, and Vulnerable Groups

The COVID-19 pandemic is unprecedented. The pandemic not only induced a public health crisis, but has led to severe economic, social, and educational crises. Across economies and societies, the distributional consequences of the pandemic have been uneven. Among groups living in vulnerable conditions, the pandemic substantially magnified the inequality gaps, with possible negative implications for these individuals' long-term physical, socioeconomic, and mental wellbeing. This Viewpoint proposes priority, programmatic, and policy recommendations that governments, resource partners, and relevant stakeholders should consider in formulating medium-term to long-term strategies for preventing the spread of COVID-19, addressing the virus's impacts, and decreasing health inequalities. The world is at a never more crucial moment, requiring collaboration and cooperation from all sectors to mitigate the inequality gaps and improve people's health and wellbeing with universal health coverage and social protection, in addition to implementation of the health in all policies approach.

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic is unprecedented. The pandemic not only induced a public health crisis, but the necessary measures to contain the virus's spread have led to severe economic, social, and educational crises.¹ Across economies and societies, the consequences of the pandemic have been uneven. We synthesise the evidence on the ways the pandemic has further exacerbated the negative situations of vulnerable populations (ie, those living in conditions that create vulnerabilities), forcing further risks and inequalities on these groups. The world has arrived at a crucial moment, requiring a never more needed collaborative response to expand universal health coverage and social protection and build more resilient health and social protection systems, including those systems within humanitarian crisis settings, to mitigate this pandemic, address current inequality gaps, and enhance the resilience of vulnerable communities.

Who are the vulnerable?

People living in vulnerable conditions include individuals who face systemic exclusion and discrimination based on their age, disability, race, ethnicity, gender, income level, religion, caste or creed, gender identity, sexual orientation, and migratory status, in addition to individuals who are caught up in conflict and are stateless, populations who are incarcerated, individuals with chronic health conditions (eg, mental illness), people living in inadequate housing, and people who are exposed to environmental degradation, air pollution, and at risk due to climate change. More work needs to be done to include those people living in vulnerable conditions and ensure none are omitted from efforts to protect and promote their human rights during this pandemic and the next health crisis. Hence, this

Viewpoint identifies certain groups of people as being vulnerable, and essentially follows the established understandings and ontologies of vulnerability applied by global agencies.

Societies can promote changes that will improve or take people out of vulnerable situations. Moreover, governments can do what one individual cannot; they can transform unfavourable settings or conditions that decrease access to public services such as health, education, transportation, clean water, and sanitation into safe and health-promoting settings. Individuals living in vulnerable conditions are not homogeneous but do share a variety of disadvantages and risks. These populations are often invisible to governments, resulting in insufficient access to public services and economic compensation packages.² Evidence suggests that civil society and community organisations serving these populations have not had substantial input in government decision making in many places.³ Including vulnerable and marginalised communities in decisions is crucial, because they can identify solutions, help ensure compliance, and tailor responses to meet the diverse needs of populations.⁴

Official data on COVID-19 and non-COVID-19 health indicators among people living in vulnerable conditions are not publicly available in many countries. Thus, an underestimation of the severity and acuteness occurs. More disaggregated and standardised data are urgently needed to accurately inform action and public policies. To understand the specific effects of COVID-19 on people living in conditions of vulnerability, there is a specific need for more updated data collection on rates of hospitalisations, deaths, and other health and social wellbeing indicators disaggregated by income, gender, age, race, ethnicity, disabilities, and other variables.

Lancet Public Health 2022;
7: e86–92

Published Online
December 11, 2021
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667\(21\)00235-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2468-2667(21)00235-8)

Parliament at the Mexican Congress, Mexico City, Mexico (G C Barron BA); UNICEF, Kathmandu, Nepal (G Laryea-Adjei PhD);

Riga, Latvia (Prof V Vike-Freiberga PhD);

Institute for Global Health, UCL, London, UK

(Prof I Abubakar PhD, D Devakumar PhD); Policy and Liaison Unit/Humanitarian

Office, UNFPA, New York, NY, USA (H Dakkak MD); Malmö, Sweden (A Johnsson MSc);

Istanbul Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul University, Istanbul,

Turkey (Prof S Karabey MD);

School of Epidemiology and Public Health, University of

Ottawa, Ottawa, ON, Canada (Prof R Labonté PhD); Saw Swee

Hock School of Public Health, National University of

Singapore, Singapore (H Legido-Quigley PhD); School

of International Development, University of East Anglia,

Norwich, UK (Prof P Lloyd-Sherlock); Slum and Rural Health Initiative,

Ibadan, Nigeria (I I Olufadewa MBBS);

The National Center for Faith Based Initiative, Palm Beach,

FL, USA (H C Ray JD); Pandemic Resource and Response

Initiative, New York, NY, USA (Prof I Redlener MD); Children's

Health Fund, New York, NY, USA (K Redlener MSc);

Bibliotheca Alexandrina, Alexandria, Egypt

(I Serageldin PhD); Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (FIOCRUZ),

Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (N T Lima PhD); Foundation for

Amazon Sustainability (FAS), Manaus, Brazil

(Prof V Viana PhD); New York, NY, USA (K Zappone PhD);

UNICEF, New York, NY, USA
(U K Huynh PhD,
N Schlosberg BS, H Sun MA);
Center for Sustainable
Development, Earth Institute,
Columbia University, New York,
NY, USA (O Karadag PhD)

Correspondence to:
Dr Ozge Karadag, Center for
Sustainable Development, Earth
Institute, Columbia University,
New York, NY 10115, USA
ok2267@columbia.edu

Search strategy

We used these databases in our literature search: COVID-19 Data Portal–UN; COVID-19 and Children–UNICEF Data Hub, COVID-19 and Labor Statistics–ILOSTAT, Global Research on COVID-19–WHO; COVID-19 and Gender Resources–UN Women Data Hub; Datasets from the World Bank; JSTOR Database; ResearchGate; and ProQuest.

The search terms applied were: pandemic, COVID-19, mortality rate of COVID-19, the impact of COVID-19, COVID-19 in developing countries, social inequalities, inequalities from COVID-19, vulnerable populations and COVID-19, COVID-19 and economics, COVID-19 and older people, COVID-19 and children, COVID-19 and mental health, COVID-19 and gender, COVID-19 and people with disabilities, COVID-19 and indigenous people, COVID-19 in prisons, COVID-19 and the labor market, COVID-19 and humanitarian access, COVID-19 food shortage, COVID-19 water shortage, COVID-19 and digital divide, policy responses to COVID-19, effectiveness of policy responses, social protection, universal health coverage.

The search filters we used were article and publication type (included peer-reviewed journals, datasets, and reports from recognised international organisations; dates (included publications of COVID-19 published from March 11, 2020, to June 11, 2021; and language (included publications written in English or translated into English).

The intersectionality of inequalities among conditions of vulnerability

The pandemic has shown how various inequalities, which were wide and growing within and among countries long before the pandemic struck, are intricately linked.⁵ Intersectionality examines how the markers of identity cross within the individual and how social groups, especially those who are vulnerable because of identity or social conditions, exemplify intersectionality within the grouping. As intersections, and how they interact with health and socioeconomic inequalities, are discussed, the global health community is better prepared to tailor health care, social protection, and empowerment accordingly within local, community settings and on a national level. COVID-19 infection rates and deaths are unequal, with the greatest hardship on those individuals living with intersecting vulnerabilities, since having more than one vulnerability leads to multiplicative or additive effects.^{6,7}

The effect of COVID-19 on different population groups living in vulnerable conditions

The pandemic had an adverse effect on all sustainable development goals including goal ten, which specifically addresses inequality. To that end, systemic inequalities and unfair distribution of chances in life are leaving several groups living in vulnerable conditions and at

higher risk of increased morbidity, poverty, and marginalisation during the pandemic than the general population. Direct effects of the pandemic put some groups at an increased risk of infection, serious illness, or death, whereas indirect effects are caused by the various restrictions essential to control the spread of infection. Although some groups have vulnerabilities that are common in both categories, the policy measures to safeguard these people can be different. We have identified some of the conditions that worsen the outcomes of COVID-19.

Mortality and severe illness caused by COVID-19 are strongly associated with older age (ie, those 65 years and older) and comorbid health conditions,⁸ and COVID-19 has a disproportionate effect on older people. These effects are amplified by widespread discrimination against older people in the allocation of health-care services. Older people in care facilities have accounted for more than half the mortality rate in many countries.⁹

Older people are also highly exposed to the economic and social effects of the pandemic, especially in low-income and middle-income countries (LMICs). In 2018, only around 20% of people aged 60 and older received a pension in LMICs.¹⁰ Fewer older people than young people live alone, which might limit social isolation during lockdown. However, contexts of crowded, substandard housing and family stress increase exposure to abuse and infection. In India, 56% of older people reported suffering abuse and claimed the abuse had worsened since lockdown.¹¹

Children (ie, those younger than 18 years) are also considerably affected by the indirect repercussions of the pandemic. Children, who are already twice as likely to live in extreme poverty compared with adults (ie, those 18 years and older), are seriously affected by the pandemic's poverty magnification.¹² Children also face threats to their wellbeing from interruption in essential services, particularly education. 195 countries imposed school closures, affecting more than 1·5 billion children and youth (ie, those aged 15–24 years).¹³ These closures affect the education system with substantial social and health consequences (eg, education backslides, rising drop-out rates, compromised nutrition, and increased abuse and neglect). Millions of children with families under chronic financial duress struggle to obtain broadband access and the essential accompanying hardware to gain mentoring, making remote learning essentially not possible. Those children who were struggling educationally before the pandemic face further intolerable setbacks that might not be remediable, and extreme long-term adversities will require more extensive intervention and support. The impact of these setbacks on these children's futures is dire, and the implications of an increase in children unable to reach their full potential is extremely serious for societies.

Due to deeply entrenched gender inequalities and unequal power relations, the indirect effects of the virus have devastating effects on girls and women, exacerbating gender inequalities in health, economic, and social sectors.¹⁴ The crisis has substantially increased the burden of care on women, who make up 70% of the paid health workforce worldwide.⁷ Women's unpaid work at home has increased due to school closures, with work shifting from the paid economy to unpaid care for children. In 2021, women's employment declined by 5.0% whereas men's employment declined by 3.9%. Additionally, 90% of women who lost their jobs in 2020 exited the labour force, which suggests that their working lives are likely to be disrupted for an extended period unless appropriate measures are adopted.¹⁵ Despite the 2280 fiscal, social protection, and labour market measures taken in response to COVID-19, only 13% target women's economic security.¹⁶ For many girls, the pandemic has made life more challenging. 11.2 million girls and young women globally are at risk of not returning to care centres, schools, or universities due to the COVID-19 crisis.¹⁷ This risk exposes girls and young women to an increased risk of violence, child marriage, female genital mutilation (ie, all procedures that involve partial or total removal of the external female genitalia, or other injury to the female genital organs for non-medical reasons), and HIV infection with limited or no access to services. Teenage pregnancies among out-of-school girls and maternal mortality among all girls and women have increased due to a scarcity of crucial resources. Many women living in vulnerable conditions are experiencing increased violence as stay-at-home measures confine families and raise tensions. This increased violence has sparked a shadow epidemic of violence against women worldwide.¹⁸

People with disabilities have experienced difficulties with taking prudent steps to protect themselves in addition to the pre-existing social inequalities that decrease their economic resilience during the pandemic. Racialised populations in all regions have been scapegoated for spreading the virus and have experienced incidents of discrimination, xenophobia, racism, and physical attacks.¹⁹ The chronic and toxic stress from elevated levels of racism and other forms of discrimination put people at increased risk for COVID-19 and its consequences.^{20,21}

Indigenous people also have a higher risk of infection with irreparable consequences because of a poorer baseline health status and less access to health-care and sanitation services than the general population.²² Internally displaced people, including people in areas controlled by armed groups, refugees, asylum seekers, and those who are stateless and homeless, have more severe consequences because of circumstances putting them at risk, such as limited access to safe housing, safe workplaces, educational opportunities, sanitation, and health care than non-displaced individuals.^{23,24}

The effect of COVID-19 on vulnerability by key social dimension

Income and employment

The pandemic has drawn economic inequality into sharp focus. Resource-poor communities are experiencing challenges in meeting people's basic needs, and working conditions are directly linked to different vulnerabilities. Some groups are disproportionately affected by the job cuts or furlough schemes caused by mobility restrictions and stay-at-home orders, and the informal economy makes it difficult for people to adhere to non-pharmacological interventions, to get tested, to isolate, and to obtain treatment when necessary, magnifying existing inequalities.⁷ Absolute poverty was expected to rise, increasing the numbers of those in extreme poverty by as many as 500 million people globally by 2021.²⁵

Access to essential health-care services

Access to health care for non-COVID-19 health issues has decreased due to a mix of demand and supply chain disruptions. The most mentioned causes for service disruptions include cancellation of elective care, closure of population-level screening programmes, government transportation lockdown, and shift of staff to provide COVID-19 relief. In some countries, limited medical supplies has led to spiralling costs for patients, which has made health care unaffordable for many.²⁶ As access to essential services (eg, vaccinations, sexual and reproductive health care, child and adolescent health care, early detection and monitoring of non-communicable diseases, and mental health care) diminished and access inequalities widened, health problems continued to rise worldwide.²⁷ Existing neglect of social care provision for various groups and a failure to integrate related health services are responsible for a large share of morbidity and mortality in many countries and require urgent reform.²⁸

Access to clean water, sanitation, and hygiene equipment

COVID-19 exacerbated the chronic shortage of clean water, sanitation, hygiene facilities, and equipment in many LMICs and in poor and marginalised communities in high-income countries. About 2 billion people live in countries facing water scarcity, mostly in LMICs.²⁹ The existing lack of water supply, sanitation facilities, and hygiene equipment magnifies the spread of COVID-19. The pandemic has also underscored the burden of water collection on women, who typically are the ones responsible for collecting water; many spending long hours collecting unsafe water, which exposes them and their families to risk.³⁰

Food security and nutrition

COVID-19 has worsened a desperate global crisis of food insecurity and malnutrition. Food production and supply chains have been disrupted by the pandemic. Changes in food insecurity are also associated with

Panel: Recommendations for the protection of vulnerable populations and reducing health inequities

Recommendation 1: execute universal health coverage and social protection systems in every country

- Governments with the support of UN agencies should commit to financing and executing a rapid expansion of universal social protection coverage. They should ensure that these systems are shock responsive, create contingency funding mechanisms for rapid scale-up, and develop operating systems, human resources, and training protocols that create the ability for rapid expansion of these programmes and policies.⁴⁰
- Implement universal health coverage, including access to primary health-care services that are fully integrated with social protection systems and community-based social care, with more attention paid to prioritising the needs of the most vulnerable.⁴² Promoting health as a human right, the health systems and all of their components as national and international public goods, and the equitable distribution of COVID-19 vaccines on the basis of need rather than the ability to pay, is of the utmost importance.
- Within the universal approach, governments should guarantee adequacy and inclusion such that health-care and social protection systems are gender responsive and inclusive of all vulnerable and marginalised groups. Coverage to support these groups both universally and on a needs-base, together with those in rural areas, should be included. Ensure that the civil society sector is included, and marginalised groups are able to meaningfully participate in decision-making processes.
- Ensure universal health coverage reforms are financed publicly and progressively with services allocated according to need.
- Create a global social protection fund to support countries that are unable to meet the fiscal needs of providing a universal approach to social protection programmes.⁴³
- In humanitarian contexts, use cash before in-kind assistance and build and strengthen national cash support systems. Channel cash support through national systems to ensure efficiency and sustainability, and even when systems are weak, parallel methods should help strengthen functioning elements of national systems.

Recommendation 2: at the country-level, ensure that governments and parliaments commit to financing and safeguarding health and social services to support universalism and equity

- Governments and parliaments should be included in negotiations to fund social protection policies beyond an emergency response and continuity of essential health services to protect everyone from future outbreaks.⁴² Universal health coverage will be achieved through public financing led through domestic commitments by governmental heads. There are several options to expand fiscal space, including through reallocating and improving

efficiency of public expenditures, increasing tax revenues, and managing or restructuring existing debt and aid.⁴⁴ At a minimum, current national budget allocations for social protection should be protected in the face of fiscal contraction, whereas new financing options need to be identified to help build strong and more inclusive systems.

- UN agencies should work with governments to help realign their current financial investments towards safeguarding for essential services and social protection needs. These investments must also be done for the long term with the objective to help countries meet the fiscal needs of providing a universal approach to health-care and social protection programmes while improving their fiscal sustainability and macroeconomic stability.
- Ensure policies and budgets enacted in response to COVID-19 are informed by disaggregated data (eg, by age, gender, disability, ethnicity, income, and legal status). Create specific, measurable, and standardised targets to monitor the progress of these policies and budgets in addressing the needs of vulnerable populations.

Recommendation 3: provide digital equity for all

- Bridge the digital divide and create digital equity, which supports a collaborative framework across all stakeholders in delivering comprehensive health-care and social protection responses. Ensure global commitments to providing universal access to the internet, making information, distance learning, and opportunities for telework and telemedicine available to all households.

Recommendation 4: boost the care economy and take immediate steps to transform the current model

- Put care for people at the centre of politics and the postpandemic rebuild by institutionalising a broad range of policies (eg, flexible work-from-home arrangements, payments to those who provide care or need to receive such payments, and recognition of both paid and unpaid carers as essential workers).
- Institutionalise family-friendly policies focusing on four components: (1) access to child benefits and adequate wages; (2) adequate paid leave for all parents and guardians (ie, maternity, paternity, and parental leave); (3) flexible and gender-responsive workplace policies (eg, support for maternity protection); and (4) affordable, accessible, and quality child care. These components will support parental employment and income, reduce child poverty, and support child wellbeing and development.⁴⁵
- Issue priority guidelines with specific measures for institutional settings to ensure health, safety, and wellbeing for older (ie, those 65 years and older) people and people with disabilities, and increase the resources of institutions to implement preventive measures.

(Continues on next page)

(Panel continued from previous page)

Recommendation 5: re-energise relationships between government and civil society actors, and ensure communities, marginalised populations, and all gender identities have a central role in decision making

- Create gender-sensitive processes to bring the civil society sector, marginalised populations, politicians, and policy makers together. Move beyond mere consultation and

provide space for marginalised populations to have a seat at the decision-making table. Use the expertise of marginalised populations to design solutions, which meet the needs of the full range of diverse populations. Community organisations can reach the most marginalised people in ways that governments cannot and have the trust of these people in ways that governments often do not. Ensure transparency, accountability, and a gender lens in decision making.

unemployment and poverty.³¹ The quantity and quality of food production is being cut, potentially affecting nutrition levels, health status, and the immune system, with a more prominent effect on children, pregnant women, and older adults.³²

Digital divide

The pandemic has driven the internet age forward, but nearly half the world's population is still unconnected.³³ COVID-19 underscored the deep inequity in the digital divide during lockdown measures. Internet access informs the public on current COVID-19 information, increases working from home opportunities, allows for distance learning, enables telemedicine, and connects isolated people with others.

Humanitarian contexts

COVID-19 started as a global health crisis, but quickly turned into a humanitarian crisis and could potentially reverse decades of progress on poverty, health, education, and other issues.³⁴ During the pandemic, supply chains have been further broken down, especially in conflict areas where there is already a shortage of health personnel and supplies. Humanitarian workers put their lives in danger to provide necessary care. Some governments have been unwilling or unable to uphold their population's right to health care during times of conflict.³⁵ Some have even tried to suppress information about the virus and stifled criticism of their handling of the pandemic.³⁶

The case for universal health coverage and social protection for groups living in vulnerable conditions

Social protection aims to prevent or protect all people against poverty, vulnerability, and social exclusion, with emphasis towards groups living in vulnerable conditions such that everyone has a fair opportunity for economic and health equity.³⁷ Universal health coverage means that all people have access to the health services they need, when and where they need them, without financial hardship.³⁸ Governments should work towards universal health coverage because of their commitment to the sustainable development goals. Universal health coverage improves public health indicators, which are important for economic growth, and thus for pandemic preparedness. Leaving

people behind means undiagnosed and untreated people, which increases infection risk, disease burden, and health-care costs, and decreases trust in institutions and political leaders, affecting societies as a whole. There is strong evidence to suggest that providing comprehensive and context-appropriate social protection and linking this protection to health care with universal access can mitigate the long-term impacts of the pandemic, increase trust, and help protect the vulnerable.³⁹

More than 200 countries have implemented some form of social protection response to address the socioeconomic impacts of the epidemic, with more than 150 using forms of cash-based transfers.⁴⁰ Despite some positive trends, substantial concerns remain that the scale-up of programmes might be too small and too temporary in nature. Moreover, social protection coverage and adequacy (eg, the size of cash transfer) is often too low to support families living in poverty, including in human capital formation, while also building their resilience to future shocks, crucially climate shocks. The challenges for groups living in vulnerable conditions range across the spectrum of social protection programming, and there are substantial variations across regions.⁴¹

Recommendations for the immediate and long-term safeguarding of vulnerable groups

Recommendations have been presented in many reports evaluating social protection programmes and health systems worldwide. The recommendations presented here have been prioritised and agreed upon by the *Lancet* Commission on COVID-19's Task Force on Social Protection, Humanitarian Action, and Vulnerable Group experts through an online consultation process including task force meetings and shared online documents.

Universal shocks require universal responses is the most important recommendation to result from impact assessments of the pandemic, including UN reports about social protection responses worldwide. In addition to being a cascading and interacting system of epidemiological, economic, and social and political shocks, COVID-19 has created a devastating inequality shock. The medium-to-long-term consequences require a recovery strategy that delivers health and social services in an integrated manner to prevent these shocks from creating intergenerational poverty traps.

The crisis has also further expanded national systems for adaptive social protection, which takes shock-responsive social protection one step further and aims to respond to climate change and other long-term stressors and crises exacerbating poverty, vulnerability, and social exclusion.

The crisis has shown the vital importance of inclusive digital technologies in every domain of crisis response. Ensuring digital equity represents one concrete example requiring intersectoral collaboration and an ambitious initiative on a never-before-seen scale. The crisis has also highlighted the vital importance of the care economy. The pandemic has interacted with adverse social norms to worsen gender inequality. Comprehensive social protection responses—such as social care public employment programmes—that offer vital social care services to affected families, as part of a social protection programme that provides employment opportunities, deliver more effective interventions than conventional programmes.

Complex crises require partnership, and the pandemic has highlighted the vital relevance of sustainable development goal 17. Inclusive approaches to decision making, built on relationships across all partners including civil society, are now more important than ever. Considering these discussions, we have five recommendations for governments, parliaments, and multilateral agencies (panel): first, governments should execute universal health coverage and social protection systems in every country; second at the country-level, governments and parliaments should commit to financing and safeguarding health and social services to support universalism and equity; third, digital equity for all; fourth, boost the care economy and take immediate steps to transform the current model; and finally, re-energise relationships between government and civil society actors, and ensure communities, marginalised populations, and all gender identities have a central role in decision making.

Conclusion

COVID-19 has resulted in a global pandemic requiring immediate attention to fight and contain the virus and tackle the worldwide magnification of inequalities through sound health policies, social protections, and humanitarian actions. We urge all governments, decision makers, and stakeholders to consider these recommendations in formulating strategies for prevention of the virus's spread and address the virus's impacts. The world is at a historically crucial moment for multisectoral collaboration to mitigate the inequality gaps with universal health coverage and social protection, and to truly implement the health-in-all-policies approach.⁴⁶

Contributors

All authors contributed to reviewing literature, writing of the manuscript, and reviewing and editing all versions of the manuscript. OK, UKH, NS, and HS prepared the initial draft and consecutive

revisions on all authors' feedback. The revised manuscript has been read and approved by all authors.

Declaration of interests

We declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Earl Hamilton (The National Center for Faith Based Initiatives, FL, USA), Justin Koonin (UHC2030, Sydney, NSW, Australia), Michael Samson (Economic Policy Research Institute, Cape Town, South Africa), Natalia Winder Rossi, Associate Director of Social Policy, and David Stewart (UNICEF, NY, USA), Akihito Watabe (UHC2030, Switzerland), and Luiz Augusto Cassanha Galvao (Oswaldo Cruz Foundation–Fiocruz, Brazil) for their contributions to this publication.

References

- 1 OECD. Social economy and the COVID-19 crisis: current and future roles. July 30, 2020. https://read.oecd-ilibrary.org/view/?ref=135_135367-031kjiq7v4&title=Social-economy-and-the-COVID-19-crisis-current-and-future-roles (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 2 UN. Indigenous people and COVID-19: a guide note for the UN system prepared by the UN Inter-Agency support group on indigenous issues. April 23, 2020. https://www.un.org/development/desa/indigenouspeoples/wp-content/uploads/sites/19/2020/04/Indigenous-peoples-and-COVID_IASG_23.04.2020-EN.pdf (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 3 Rajan D, Koch K, Rohrer K, et al. Governance of the Covid-19 response: a call for more inclusive and transparent decision-making. *BMJ Glob Health* 2020; 5: 5.
- 4 Marston C, Renedo A, Miles S. Community participation is crucial in a pandemic. *Lancet* 2020; 395: 1676–78.
- 5 Blundell R, Dias, MC, Joyce R, Xu X. COVID-19 and inequalities. *Fisc Stud* 2020; 41: 291–319.
- 6 Beyer D. The impact of coronavirus on the working poor and people of color. July 18, 2020. https://www.jec.senate.gov/public/_cache/files/bbaf9c9f-1a8c-45b3-816c-1415a2c1ffee/coronavirus-race-and-class-jec-final.pdf (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 7 International Labour Organization. Care work and care jobs: the future of decent work. Jun 28, 2018. https://www.ilo.org/wcmsp5/groups/public/---dgreports/---dcomm/---publ/documents/publication/wcms_633135.pdf (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 8 WHO. Weekly operational update on COVID-19. Jan 11, 2021. <https://www.who.int/publications/m/item/weekly-operational-update-on-covid-19--11-january-2021> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 9 Lloyd-Sherlock P. Care homes and COVID-19 in an Argentine provincial city. Oct 13, 2020. <https://corona-older.com/2020/10/13/care-homes-and-covid-19-in-an-argentine-provincial-city/> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 10 International Labour Office. Social protection for older persons: policy trends and statistics 2017–19. Sept 26, 2018. https://www.ilo.org/secsoc/information-resources/publications-and-tools/policy-papers/WCMS_645692/lang-en/index.htm (accessed March 18, 2021).
- 11 Pandit A. Abuse has increased during lockdown, say 71% of elderly. June 15, 2020. <https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/india/abuse-has-increased-during-lockdown-say-71-of-elderly/articleshow/76377324.cms> (accessed March 18, 2021).
- 12 Gentilini U, Almenfi M, Orton I, et al. Social protection and jobs responses to COVID-19: a real-time review of country measures. Sept 18, 2020. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/bitstream/handle/10986/33635/Social-Protection-and-Jobs-Responses-to-COVID-19-A-Real-Time-Review-of-Country-Measures-September-18-2020.pdf?sequence=19&isAllowed=y> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 13 UNESCO. 1.3 billion learners are still affected by school or university closures, as educational institutions start reopening around the world, says UNESCO. April 29, 2020. <https://en.unesco.org/news/13-billion-learners-are-still-affected-school-university-closures-educational-institutions> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 14 Azcona G, Bhatt A, Encarnacion J, et al. From insight to action: gender equality in the wake of COVID-19. Sept 2, 2020. <https://www.unwomen.org/-/media/headquarters/attachments/sections/library/publications/2020/gender-equality-in-the-wake-of-covid-19-en.pdf?la=en&vs=5142> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).

- 15 International Labour Organization. The role of digital labor platforms in transforming the world of work. Feb 23, 2021. <https://www.ilo.org/global/research/global-reports/weso/2021/lang-en/index.htm> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 16 UNDP. The COVID-19 global gender response tracker. <https://data.undp.org/gendert tracker/> (accessed March 16, 2021).
- 17 UNESCO. UNESCO COVID-19 education response: how many students are at risk of not returning to school? Advocacy paper. July, 2020. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/ark:/48223/pf0000373992> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 18 UN Women. COVID-19 and violence against women and girls: addressing the shadow pandemic. 2020. <https://www.unwomen.org/-/media/headquarters/attachments/sections/library/publications/2020/policy-brief-covid-19-and-violence-against-women-and-girls-en.pdf?la=en&vs=640> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 19 Devakumar D, Shannon G, Bhopal SS, Abubakar I. Racism and discrimination in COVID-19 responses. *Lancet* 2020; **395**: 1194.
- 20 Price-Haygood EG, Burton J, Fort D, Seoane L. Hospitalization and mortality among Black patients and White patients with Covid-19. *N Engl J Medicine* 2020; **382**: 2534–43.
- 21 Simons RL, Lei MK, Beach SRH, et al. Discrimination, segregation, and chronic inflammation: testing the weathering explanation for the poor health of Black Americans. *Dev Psychol* 2018; **54**: 1993–2006.
- 22 WHO. WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19—11 March 2020. March 11, 2020. <https://www.who.int/director-general/speeches/detail/who-director-general-s-opening-remarks-at-the-media-briefing-on-covid-19--11-march-2020> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 23 Hjerm A, Kadir A. Health of refugee and migrant children: technical guidance. Dec 18, 2018. https://www.euro.who.int/__data/assets/pdf_file/0011/388361/tc-health-children-eng.pdf?ua=1 (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 24 Nyamweya N. Displacement, girls' education and covid-19. June 26, 2020. <https://www.globalpartnership.org/blog/displacement-girls-education-and-covid-19> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 25 The World Bank. Indigenous Peoples. Oct 1, 2020. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/topic/indigenouspeoples> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 26 WHO. COVID-19 disrupting mental health services in most countries, WHO survey. Oct 5, 2020. <https://www.who.int/news/item/05-10-2020-covid-19-disrupting-mental-health-services-in-most-countries-who-survey> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 27 WHO. Pulse survey on continuity of essential health services during the COVID-19 pandemic: interim report, 27 August 2020. Aug 27, 2020. https://www.who.int/publications/i/item/WHO-2019-nCoV-EHS_continuity-survey-2020.1 (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 28 Pollock AM, Clements L, Harding-Edgar L. Covid-19: why we need a national health and social care service. *BMJ* 2020; **369**: m1465.
- 29 UN. SDG 6 synthesis report 2018 in water and sanitation. 2018. <https://www.unwater.org/publications/sdg-6-synthesis-report-2018-on-water-and-sanitation/> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 30 Habib M. COVID-19 exacerbates the effects of water shortages on women in Yemen. Aug 20, 2020. <https://www.wilsoncenter.org/blog-post/covid-19-exacerbates-effects-water-shortages-women-yemen> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 31 Feeding America. The impact of the coronavirus on food insecurity. March 30, 2020. https://www.feedingamerica.org/sites/default/files/2020-04/Brief_Impact%20of%20Covid%20on%20Food%20Insecurity%204.22%20%28002%29.pdf (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 32 Gundersen C, Ziliak JP. Food insecurity and health outcomes. *Health Aff (Millwood)* 2015; **34**: 1830–39.
- 33 Broom D. Coronavirus has exposed the digital divide like never before. April 22, 2020. <https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2020/04/coronavirus-covid-19-pandemic-digital-divide-internet-data-broadband-mobbile/> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 34 UN. UN report finds COVID-19 is reversing decades of progress on poverty, healthcare and education. July 7, 2020. <https://www.un.org/development/desa/en/news/sustainable/sustainable-development-goalS-report-2020.html> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 35 Fox H, Stoddard A, Harmer A, Davidoff J. Emergency trauma response to the Mosul offensive, 2016–2017. March, 2018. https://www.humanitarianoutcomes.org/Emergency_Trauma_Response (accessed Jan 5, 2021).
- 36 Far TS. Rapid spread of virus further tests Iranians' faith in government. March 6, 2020. <https://www.hrw.org/news/2020/03/06/rapid-spread-virus-further-tests-iranians-faith-government> (accessed Jan 5, 2021).
- 37 UNICEF. UNICEF global social protection programme framework. Oct 15, 2019. <https://www.unicef.org/media/64601/file/Global-social-protection-programme-framework-2019.pdf> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 38 WHO. Universal health coverage. 2019. https://www.who.int/healthsystems/universal_health_coverage/en/ (accessed March 5, 2021).
- 39 Bird K. Practical measures to enable the economic empowerment of chronically poor women. Jan 15, 2018. <https://www.odi.org/publications/11046-practical-measures-enable-economic-empowerment-chronically-poor-women> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 40 Bastagli F, Orton I, Stewart D. From COVID-19 responses to recovery: what role for universal child benefit? Oct 16, 2020. <https://blogs.unicef.org/evidence-for-action/from-covid-19-response-to-recovery-what-role-for-universal-child-benefits/> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 41 International Labour Organization. Universal social protection to achieve the sustainable development goals. World social protection dashboards. 2020. <https://www.social-protection.org/gimi/WSPDB.action?id=32> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 42 UHC2030. State of commitment to universal health coverage: synthesis, 2020. Dec 2, 2020. <https://www.uhc2030.org/blog-news-events/uhc2030-news/state-of-commitment-to-universal-health-coverage-synthesis-2020-555434/> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 43 OHCHR. Underwriting for the poor: a global response for social protection. October, 2012. https://reliefweb.int/sites/reliefweb.int/files/resources/20121009_GFSP_en.pdf (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 44 International Labour Organisation, UNICEF. Towards universal social protection for children: achieving SDG 1.3. Feb 6, 2019. <https://www.unicef.org/media/49401/file/Towards%20universal%20social%20protection%20for%20children.pdf> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 45 OECD. Combatting COVID-19's effect on children. Aug 11, 2020. https://read.oecd-ilibrary.org/view/?ref=132_132643-m91j2scsyh&title=Combatting-COVID-19-s-effect-on-children (accessed Jan 15, 2021).
- 46 WHO, Government of South Australia. Adelaide statement on health in all policies: moving towards a shared governance for health and well-being. 2010. <https://apps.who.int/iris/handle/10665/44365> (accessed Jan 15, 2021).

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license.